FINANCIAL SECRETARIAT.

Extra Honorarium to Helpers.

READ—

Letter No. 2571, dated 6th August 1928, from the Secretary, Mysore State Life Insurance Committee, forwarding for sanction the following Resolution of the Committee in the matter of extra honorarium to Helpers for business done in rural parts outside Municipal areas:—

V. Read Mr. B. Ramachandrachar's letter requesting (1) * * * * * * * * (2) that the rate of honorarium in respect of proposals canvassed in rural areas be enhanced.

"Resolved that (1) * * * * * * * * * * * *

(2) In consideration of the difficulties which the Helpers have to face in canvassing for proposers in rural areas, a rate of 12½ per cent on the first year's premium collected in respect of fresh policies canvassed in places outside the Municipal areas be paid as a tentative measure to the Helpers from 1st July 1928."

ORDER NO. FL. 1603-5—G. F. 30-28, DATED BANGALORE 14—16TH AUGUST 1928.

Sanctioned.

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Amendment of Rule 14 of the Public Branch Insurance Rules.

READ—

Letter No. 2570, dated 6th August 1928, from the Secretary, Mysore State Life Insurance Committee, forwarding for sanction the following Resolution of the Committee in the matter of amending Rule 14 of the Public Branch Rules:—

III. Consideration of the question of amending Rule 14 by substituting "2 Calendar months" in place of "60 days" occurring in the first sentence.

"Resolved that '2 Calendar months' be substituted for '60 days' occurring in the first sentence in Rule 14."

ORDER NO. FL. 1628-9—G. F. 30-28, DATED 14—16TH AUGUST 1928.

The amendment proposed is sanctioned.

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Issues revised rules for the grant of Date Reserve Lands for cultivation.

READ—

Government Order No. Fl. 1495-1504—Ex. 34-25-20, dated 20th August 1926, issuing rules to regulate the disposal of Date Reserves for cultivation.

2. Letter No. A1-197—28-29, dated 6th August 1928, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, submitting revised Rules for the disposal of Date Reserves and requesting approval thereto for reasons explained.

ORDER NO. Fl. 1829-38—Ex. 27-28-2, DATED 22ND—23RD AUGUST 1928.

Approved.

2. The rules as revised are printed as an annexure to this order.

3. The Excise Commissioner is requested to issue necessary amendments to the Mysore Excise Manual.

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

RULES FOR THE GRANT OF DATE RESERVE LANDS FOR CULTIVATION.

When an application for land included in the Date Reserve List is received and the Amildar is in favour of the grant of the land (in view of the paucity of suitable lands in the neighbourhood of the locality or for other reasons), the Sub-Division Officer and the District Excise Officer should consult each other, inspect the land, and if they are jointly of opinion that the land may be given out for cultivation, the application should be disposed of under the "Darkhasts" rules.

2. When the Sub-Division Officer and the District Excise Officer disagree, the matter should be referred to the Deputy Commissioner, who, if he agrees with the District Excise Officer that the land ought not to be given out for cultivation, may reject the application subject to appeal to the Revenue Commissioner by the applicant. On the other hand, if the Deputy Commissioner over-rules the opinion of the District Excise Officer, three months' time should be given to the District Excise Officer to bring the case before the Excise Commissioner with a view to his moving the Government to have the Deputy Commissioner's orders revised by Government, if necessary.

3. In Districts where there are no District Excise Officers, the Amildar should refer the matter to the Excise Inspector of the Range concerned for his opinion. The latter should, after inspecting the land, furnish his opinion to the Sub-Divisional Officer. If the Sub-Divisional Officer, after inspecting the land, where necessary, agrees with the opinion of the Excise Inspector that the land may not be given out for cultivation, he may return the records to the Range Inspector and permit him to inform the Amildar accordingly. If the Amildar is not satisfied with the Inspector's opinion, he may submit the records to the Sub-Divisional Officer with his further opinion in the matter. If the Sub-Divisional Officer is not even then in favour of granting the land for cultivation, he may reject the application. It is then open to the party to the appeal to the Deputy Commissioner.

4. If the Sub-Division Officer disagrees with the Excise Inspector and is of opinion that the land may be granted for cultivation, he should forward the papers to the Deputy Commissioner. Orders passed by the Deputy Commissioner rejecting the *Darkhast* will be subject to appeal to the Revenue Commissioner.

5. If on appeal preferred under paras (2) and (4), the Revenue Commissioner is unable to agree with the Deputy Commissioner, the matter should be referred to Government who after consulting the Excise Commissioner, if necessary, will dispose of the case in the best interest of both the Departments.

6. Ordinarily, in deciding cases under the foregoing rules, the proved requirements of *bona fide* cultivators shall have precedence over those of Excise Revenue in the case of all assessed lands and *vice versa* in the case of all un-assessed lands.

7. When a *Darkhast* for land is finally rejected under the foregoing rules, a note to that effect shall be made in the "Khatewar Patrik" and all subsequent *Darkhasts* for the same land shall be summarily rejected for a period of three successive years from the date of rejection.

Revision of the Scales of Pay of Sub-Registrars and their establishment.

READ—

Government Order No. J. 1674-8—Regis. 7-14-16, dated 20th November 1917, sanctioning the re-organisation of the Registration Department.

2. Letter No. CR. 161—27-28, dated 17th October 1927, from the Inspector-General of Registration in Mysore, forwarding a copy of the representation presented to him by a number of Sub-Registrars that waited on him in deputation and stating that there is great stagnation especially in the higher grades owing to want of other openings for the Sub-Registrars in those grades and recommending that the proposals already submitted to Government in the year 1922, for revising the scales of pay, may be favourably considered.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No. Pet. 15—27-28, dated 31st March 1928, from the same officer, forwarding a statement of present and revised scales of pay to the officials of the Sub-Registry Offices involving an additional expenditure of Rs. 10,140.

ORDER NO. FL. 1701-10—REGIS. 12-27-3, DATED 17—18TH AUGUST 1928.

In Government Order of 20th November 1917 read above, Government sanctioned a revision of the scales of pay of Sub-Registrars and their establishments as hereunder:—

A. Sub-Registrars.

	Rs.
8 on	.. 100
14 on	.. 80
16 on	.. 60
20 on	.. 50

Probationary Sub-Registrars to get Rs. 30 each.

B. Clerical Staff and Menials.

	Rs.
33 clerks on	.. 25
58 do	.. 20
32 do	.. 15
41 attenders on	.. 9
59 peons on	.. 7
58 sweepers on	.. 6

Except for the merging of high price allowances in pay in the year 1921, this scale of salaries has remained unchanged during the past 11 years although the work of the Department and the Revenue have increased materially.

2. The inadequacy of the present rates of pay of these officers and the want of sufficient prospects to the Sub-Registrars has been frequently brought to the notice of the Government by successive Inspectors-General of Registration. With a view to remove the existing stagnation and discontent, a revision of the scale of pay of the Sub-Registrars and the subordinate staff has been proposed.

3. The proposals have been carefully examined by Government. They are now pleased to direct that the number and scales of pay of the Sub-Registrars and of their establishment be revised as indicated in the appended statement.

The chief features of the revision are the replacement of fixed pay by time-scale of pay and the enhancement of the maximum pay of Sub-Registrars from Rs. 100 to Rs. 150.

4. The average cost on account of the revision will be Rs. 1,33,486⅔ per annum as against the present cost of Rs. 1,08,900 resulting in a recurring additional expenditure of Rs. 24,586⅔. The extra cost during the current year will be met out of the lumpsum provision of Rs. 25,000 made in the Budget for the re-organisation of the Registration Establishment. The revision will come into effect from 1st August 1928.

5. The Inspector-General of Registration is requested to submit a statement showing the allocation of Sub-Registrars and their establishments in the revised scales for the information and orders of Government.

B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
Financial Department.

Statement showing the present and revised scales of pay of Sub-Registrars and their establishment.

Present			Revised		
Grade	Pay	Cost	Grade	Pay	Cost
	Rs.	Rs.		Rs.	Rs.
A. Sub-Registrars—			A. Sub-Registrars—		
1st Grade (8) on	.. 100	800	1st Grade (10) on	110—8—150	1,366⅔
2nd ,, (14) on	.. 87	1,218	2nd ,, (49) on	50—5—100	3,947 2/9
3rd ,, (16) on	.. 67	1,072	Probationary Sub-Registrars (6) on	40	240
4th ,, (21) on	.. 55	1,155			
Probationary Sub-Registrars (3) on	.. 35	105	Total	..	5,553 8/9
Total	..	4,350			
	Rs.			Rs.	Rs. a. p.
B. Clerical Staff—			B. Clerical Staff—		
49 Clerks on	.. 30	1,470	49 Clerks on	.. 35	1,715 0 0
55 do	.. 25	1,375	55 do	.. 25—1—30	1,581 4 0
61 do	.. 20	1,220	61 do	.. 20—1—25	1,448 12 0
55 Attenders on	.. 12	660	55 Attenders on	.. 15	825 0 0
		4,725			5,570 0 0
Total	..	9,075 per mensem or 1,08,900 per annum	Total	..	11,123 8/9 per mensem or 1,33,486⅔ per annum
			Extra cost Rs. 24,586⅔ per annum		